



CEN and CENELEC in the Future Landscape of European Standardization

→ Findings of the Working Groups

- Governance and Sectors

Mr Olivier Peyrat

Director General AFNOR (France)

→ Preliminary considerations

- ESS = European Standardization System
- Open, flexible, dynamic and legible
- Evolution rather than revolution
- Capacity to improve and to handle more effectively opportunities/issues
- No merger recommended between CEN and CENELEC
- The ETSI case

→ Sectors handling

- House keeping regularly needed
- Capacity to host new sectors if needed
- Periodic check of relevancy for a given sector
- Support by the industry ? Possible shift in focus ?
- Avoid ping-pong game → Red telephone mechanism
- International connections not to be compromised
- Homogeneous technical structures and deliverables

→ Governance

- Strike a balance between democracy and efficiency
- Subsidiarity (= management by exception)
- Scalability (= principles which can be applied throughout the ESS + best practices sharing (e.g. President Elect, VP Technical))
- The revised CENELEC model is quite interesting
- ESS external policy could be improved (viz the Commission, the US, China, etc)

→ Solutions ?

- The JPG option
- The System Focal Part (SFP) option
 - Based on national delegations for governance
 - External policy
 - Economy of scales (back office sharing ?)
 - An SFP BT working by exception (providing arbitrage if an overlapping issue amongst BTs/TCs appears or if a new sector needs are to be addressed properly)

More details about the System Focal Part (SFP) option

ESS

AG/Board	AG/Board	AG/Board		AG/Board
BT	BT			SFP BT
CEN	CLC	ETSI ?	New sector	SFP
				Ext Policy?
				Back office?